

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/729,581

**Applicant(s)**

KEEFE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Mark Staples

**Art Unit**

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark Staples  
Stephanie Mummert

(3) John Harre

(2) Ivor Elrifi

(4) Michale Biro

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pieken, Briebe, Sousa, Padilla, Milligan, and Kujau.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 10 873 856 also discussed, Examiner Mummert joined by phone. Applicants agreed to amend the claims to recite concentrations of Mg and Mn and other claim amendments that overcome the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.